



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,401	01/04/2006	Christy L. Ludlow	11613.87USWO	6746
7590	09/08/2008		EXAMINER	
Katherine M. Kowalchyk MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			GETZOW, SCOTT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/529,401	LUDLOW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	/Scott M. Getzow/	3762	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott M. Getzow.

(3) Michael Shmilovich (in office).

(2) Christy Ludlow (in office).

(4) Katherine Kowalchyk (via telephone).

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: power point demonstration given of swallowing mechanism and asserted technical differences between applicant's invention and prior art Freed patent.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Freed and Sanders.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave a demonstration of alleged differences between their invention and that of prior art applied. Will submit amendment and remarks.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//Scott M. Getzow// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762	
--	--